

**POSTSECONDARY SYSTEM SUMMARY
DEGREE PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY STATUS
CALENDAR YEAR 2006**

The eligibility status of the institutions is determined through the application of the administrative regulation (13 KAR 2:060).

Postsecondary system performance

Eligibility Category	Universities		Community & Technical College Districts		Total	
	2006	2005	2006	2005	2006	2005
Automatic	6	7	7	8	13	15
Quantitative Waiver	1	1	3	5	4	6
Qualitative Waiver	1	0	3	5	4	5
Not Eligible	0	0	3	0	3	0
Total	8	8	16	18	24	26

Performance by universities

Institution	Objectives Showing Continuous Progress	Total Objectives Evaluated	Degree Program Eligibility Status	
			2006	2005
Eastern Kentucky Univ.	6	8	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Kentucky State Univ.	7	7	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Morehead State Univ.	5	8	<i>Quantitative</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Murray State Univ.	4	8	<i>Qualitative</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Northern Kentucky Univ.	8	8	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Univ. of Kentucky	6	8	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Quantitative</i>
Univ. of Louisville	8	8	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Automatic</i>
Western Kentucky Univ.	7	8	<i>Automatic</i>	<i>Automatic</i>

Notes:

Universities (except Kentucky State University) have eight equal opportunity objectives. Kentucky State University has seven objectives (the objective related to enrollment of graduate students does not apply to KSU).

Automatic eligibility equals continuous progress in at least six of eight objectives. KSU is at least five of seven objectives.

Quantitative waiver equals continuous progress in five of eight objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during calendar year 2006. KSU is four of seven objectives.

Qualitative waiver equals continuous progress in four or fewer of eight objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during calendar year 2006. KSU is three or fewer of seven objectives.

INSTITUTIONAL DEGREE PROGRAM ELIGIBILITY CALENDAR YEAR 2006

The eligibility status of the institutions is determined through the application of the administrative regulation (13 KAR 2:060).

Performance by KCTCS Districts

Institution	Objectives Showing Continuous Progress	Total Objectives Evaluated	Degree Program Eligibility Status	
			2006	2005
Kentucky Community and Technical College System				
Ashland Community & Technical College	1	4	Qualitative	Qualitative
Big Sandy Community & Technical College	2	4	Quantitative	Qualitative
Bluegrass Community & Technical College	4	4	Automatic	Automatic
Bowling Green Technical College	3	4	Automatic	Automatic
Elizabethtown Community & Technical College	3	4	Automatic	Automatic
Gateway Community & Technical College	2	4	Not Eligible	Quantitative
Hazard Community & Technical College	2	4	Not Eligible	Quantitative
Henderson Community College	3	4	Automatic	Automatic
Hopkinsville Community College	4	4	Automatic	Automatic
Jefferson Community & Technical College	4	4	Automatic	Automatic
Madisonville Community & Technical College	2	4	Quantitative	Quantitative
Maysville Community & Technical College	1	4	Qualitative	Qualitative
Owensboro Community & Technical College	2	4	Not Eligible	Quantitative
Somerset Community College	1	4	Qualitative	Qualitative
Southeast Community & Technical College	2	4	Quantitative	Qualitative
West KY Community & Technical College	3	4	Automatic	Automatic

Notes:

The community and technical colleges have four equal opportunity objectives.

Automatic eligibility equals continuous progress in at least three of four objectives.

Quantitative waiver equals continuous progress in two of four objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during the 2006 calendar year.

Qualitative waiver equals continuous progress in zero or one of four objectives. New degree programs must be implemented under the waiver provisions during the 2006 calendar year.